

UNITED STAN _ 3 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/436,598 11/09/99 LENNIGER
A GR-97-P-1593

EXAMINER

MM91/0302

LERNER AND GREENBERG P A ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

MM91/0302

LERNER AND GREENBERG P A ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

28144

03/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	
		09/436,598		LENNIGER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
•		David E Grayb		2814	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
Period fo	r Reply				
THE N - Extending after S - If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANTING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted period by the Office later than three months after the mailing displayed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136 (a). In no event, he statutory within the statutory will apply and will exp	nowever, may a reply be to minimum of thirty (30) da bire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDON	timely filed bys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	December 200	<u>o</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	NAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	on of Claims				
-	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consid	deration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claims are subject to restriction and/	or election requ	iirement.	•	
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ ap	proved b) disa	pproved.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume				
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Ri	ule 17.2(a)).		
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do				
	•				
Attachma	nt/s)				
Attachme	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	1	8) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
16) No	otice of References Cited (P10-092) Otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) Formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No() 1	,	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/436,598

Art Unit: 2814

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by applicant's admitted prior art.

At page 1, line 10 to page 2, line 13; and page 6, line 11 to page 7, line 14, applicant admits as conventional a product comprising a substrate 4 disposed in a plastic housing 2 defining a housing base of the housing, the substrate containing a ceramic plate 5 having a top side and a bottom side with a top metallization layer 6 disposed on the top side and a bottom metallization layer 7 disposed on the bottom side, the top metallization layer facing an interior of the housing being patterned in order to form interconnects and equipped for and receiving semiconductor components 10; connecting elements 8 interconnecting the components; and terminal elements 11 for providing external terminals in housing element openings, wherein the elements run approximately parallel to the housing base in the interior of the housing, the housing includes a frame 9 and a cover, the elements are disposed in the frame, and Application/Control Number: 09/436,598 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

the substrate is covered with a potting compound (illustrated in FIG 1).

Although applicant does not appear to explicitly admit as prior art the process limitation "terminals press-fitted into housing element openings," the product of applicant's admitted prior art inherently possesses the characteristics imparted by the limitation.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/436,598

Art Unit: 2814

U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art as applied to claims 1 and 3-6, and further in combination with Leukel (FR2535898).

Although applicant admits as prior art a product wherein the housing has an inner side, applicant does not appear to explicitly admit as prior art a product wherein the elements have lugs which bear on the inner side for fixing the elements in position, and the compound is formed of a soft potting layer and a hard potting layer disposed on the soft layer.

Nonetheless, at page 6, lines 11-21, Leukel teaches a product wherein elements 10, 12 have lugs which bear on the inner side of housing 16 for fixing the elements in position, and the compound is formed of a soft potting layer 15 and a hard potting layer 16 disposed on the soft layer. Moreover, it would have been obvious to combine the product of Leukel with the product of applicant's admitted prior art because it would increase package reliability.

Applicant's remarks filed 12-29-00 have been fully considered and are addressed infra.

Application/Control Number: 09/436,598

Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

Applicant contends that the applied prior art does not teach the process limitation, "terminals press-fitted into housing element openings." This contention is respectfully deemed to be unpersuasive because the applied prior art is not relied on in the rejection for this teaching. To further clarify, it is noted that rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 and/or 35 U.S.C. 103 is indicated where prior art discloses a product that appears to be either identical with or only slightly different from the product claimed in a product by process Further, applicant can be required, to prove that the claim. prior art product does not necessarily or inherently possess characteristics of the claimed product. Whether the rejection is based on inherency under 35 U.S.C. 102, on prima facie obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103, jointly or alternatively, the burden of proof is the same. When, as here, there is reason to believe that the functional limitation asserted to be critical for establishing novelty in the claimed subject matter is an inherent characteristic of the prior art, the Office possesses authority to require applicant to prove that subject matter shown to be in the prior art does not possess the characteristic relied on. See In re Fitzgerald, Sanders, and Bagheri, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/436,598 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any telephone inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status (MPEP 203.08) of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Any telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (703) 308-2947. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is 703/305-3431.

David E. Graybill
Primary Examiner

Dul E Spro

Art Unit 2814

D.G. 1-Mar-01